

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/782,693	02/13/2001	Shigeru Sugaya	7217/63766	4971
7590 07/27/2005		EXAMINER		
Jay H. Maioli			KHUONG, LEE T	
Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			2665	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/782,693	SUGAYA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner .	Art Unit			
	Lee Khuong	2665			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ap	<u>oril 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.3-6.9 and 11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.7.8.10.12 and 13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.3-6.9 and 11 is/are rejected. 					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the original transfer of the correction of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
	,				
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ACTA APPROGRAM (F 10-102)			

Art Unit: 2665

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1, 3-6, 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al (6,084,888), hereafter is referred as Watanabe in view of Wang et al. (5,999,535) hereafter is referred as Wang.

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Watanabe teaches a wireless transmitting method and apparatus comprising the steps of: building a monopayload packet (see Fig. 2, an ATM frame 100 which contains only one real ATM CELL 1, with a header 121, a single payload 125 and dummy cells making up for insufficient cells are inserted for the frame 100 to be

Application/Control Number: 09/782,693

Art Unit: 2665

transmitted) having one of predetermined information units of the information for transmission as a data payload (see col. 4, lines 11 - 15 and col. 5, lines 58-62, a frame has a single packet),

constituting a multipayload packet having a plurality of the predetermined information units of the information for transmission as a data payload (see Fig. 2, col. 5, lines 27-38, a second ATM frame 100 which contains multiple ATM cells as in Fig. 2 which comprises a frame header part 104 and a frame payload part 105, in which the frame payload part 105 contains multiple payloads, 126-128),

adding a predetermined preamble to form a monopayload wireless packet to the monopayload packet and to the multipayload packet to form a multipayload wireless packet (see col. 5, lines 13 – 16, add a preamble to a frame), and

carrying out the asynchronous transmission by a wireless transmission packet of the monopayload packet with the multipayload packet depending on a length of the information to be asynchronously transmitted by wireless (see col. 4, lines 53 – 67 and col. 5, lines 1 – 9, the monopayload frame and the multipayload frame are transmitted together by a wireless base station as in Fig. 3, 706).

Watanabe does not expressly teach the packet is obtained by combining the monopayload packet with the multipayload packet.

Wang teach the packet is obtained by combining the monopayload packet with the multipayload packet (Fig. 3, see col. 7, line 57 – col. 8, line 7, a frame contains multiple cells; wherein each cell can have a monopayload or multipayload as described in Watanabe's reference).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time invention was made, to employ the cells-in-frames as taught by Wang into Watanabe to arrive the claimed invention as specified in claims 1 and 9.

The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to reduce hardware cost and increase the efficiency of the cells-in-frames network system (see col. 4, lines 24-30).

Regarding claim 3, Watanabe teaches the wireless transmitting method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps adding common header information to the monopayload packet and the multipayload packet (see col. 5, lines 6 – 9, compiling headers of cells with no redundancy information) and decoding the header information to make a state of succeeding data payload packets decidable by a communicating station of destination (see col. 5, lines 17 – 22, the transmitted frame established frame synchronism and the header information is decoded for FEC to perform error correction).

Regarding claim 4, Watanabe teaches the wireless transmitting method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of describing a number of predetermined information units included in the multipayload packet as common header information in the multipayload packet so that the number of continuous information units is specified (see Fig. 2, 102, frame control information or Fig. 4, 417, apparatus of the frame control information 102 in Fig. 2, col. 6, lines 6 – 9, the frame control information generates a sequence number, a number of predetermined information units, for the transmission frame).

Application/Control Number: 09/782,693

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claim 5, Watanabe teaches the wireless transmitting method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of adding a sequence number to the monopayload packet and obtaining the multipayload packet by adding the number for each increase in the information unit included in the packet (see col. 6, lines 6 – 9, sequence number includes in each frame).

Regarding claim 11, Watanabe and Wang teach all claimed limitations set forth in the rejection of claim 9. Watanabe further teaches receiving means for receiving an access control signal sent from a control device of the wireless network (see Fig. 3, 705, col. 5. lines 17 – 19),

access control signal decoding means for decoding the access control signal (see Fig. 3, 721, col. 5, lines 19-20), and

deciding means (Fig. 3, 704) for deciding that the relevant access control signal is for its own station, whereby the wireless transmission of the wireless packet is started using the deciding means (see col. 5, lines 43 - 62).

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe in view of Raychaudhuri et al. (5,684,791), hereinafter referred as Raychaudhuri.

Regarding claim 6, Watanabe teaches the wireless transmitting method according to claim 1, further comprising the steps of adding an error detection code or an error correction code to the monopayload packet and the multipayload packet by said information unit for transmission (see col. 5, lines 10 – 14, adding FEC to the header part and the payload part).

Art Unit: 2665

Watanabe does not expressly teach retransmission is required for each information unit having an error

However, retransmission for a lost cell/packet (for each information unit having an error) is well known in the art for ensuring quality-of-service in wireless ATM transmission as evidenced by Raychaudhuri.

Raychaudhuri teaches retransmission is required for each information unit having an error for an ATM cell with an automatic repeat request, ARQ procedure, (see Fig. 3B, col. 8, lines 40-49, retransmission for a loss ATM cell with ARQ procedure).

One skilled in the art would have recognized the advantage of using the ARQ procedure as taught by Raychaudhuri in the system of Watanabe for the purpose of ensuring quality-of-service in wireless ATM transmission.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the pertinent art at the time the invention was made to apply Raychaudhuri's teaching of retransmission of an ATM cell in the system of Watanabe for the purpose of ensuring quality-of-service in wireless ATM transmission.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/782,693

Art Unit: 2665

Conclusion

Page 7

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee Khuong whose telephone number is 571-272-3157. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM - 5PM.

- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lee T. Khuong

Examiner

Art Unit 2665

HUY D. VU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600